Notice of Allowability	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/685,403	BEETHAM ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	David H Kruse	1638	
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperature All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RI of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in the or other appropriate communic GHTS. This application is sub-	is application. If not included	THIS initiative
1.   This communication is responsive to the Response filed 8.	Sentember 2003		
2. A The allowed claim(s) is/are 14-18, 20-23 and 25-28, renum	bered 1-13.		
3. X The drawings filed on <u>08 September 2003</u> are accepted by			
4. ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority un  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of the:		7).	
1.   Certified copies of the priority documents have	been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have	been received in Application N	lo	
<ol><li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority doc</li></ol>	cuments have been received in	this national stage application from	the
International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		· .	
* Certified copies not received:			
<ol> <li>Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority un reference was included in the first sentence of the specifica</li> </ol>	tion or in an Application Data S	ovisional application) since a specif heet. 37 CFR 1.78.	ic
(a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional ar			
<ol> <li>Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority un in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application</li> </ol>	der 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 12 Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.	21 since a specific reference was in	ciuded
Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" of below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONMENT of the	this communication to file a rephis application. THIS THREE-	ly complying with the requirements MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTEND	noted ABLE
<ol> <li>A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submit INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which gives</li> </ol>	tted. Note the attached EXAMII s reason(s) why the oath or dec	NER'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE Coloration is deficient.	F
8. 🔲 CORRECTED DRAWINGS ( as "replacement sheets") must	be submitted.		
<ul><li>(a) ☐ including changes required by the Notice of Draftsperso</li><li>1) ☐ hereto or 2) ☐ to Paper No</li></ul>	on's Patent Drawing Review ( P	TO-948) attached	
(b) $\square$ including changes required by the proposed drawing co	rrection filed, which ha	s been approved by the Examiner.	
(c) $\square$ including changes required by the attached Examiner's	Amendment / Comment or in t	he Office action of Paper No.	٠.
Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1.8 each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the	34(c)) should be written on the di e margin according to 37 CFR 1.	rawings in the front (not the back) of 121(d).	
9.  ☐ DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the depos attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT FOR TH	it of BIOLOGICAL MATERIA IE DEPOSIT OF BIOLOGICAL	AL must be submitted. Note the MATERIAL.	
Attachment(s)		·	
I ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	5☐ Notice of Informa	l Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Police of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08), Paper No		ry (PTO-413), Paper No. <u>12/03</u> .	
	- 7⊠ Examiner's Amer		
Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material	8□ Examiner's State 9□ Other .	ment of Reasons for Allowance	

## **EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT**

1. An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR § 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview with John M. Sanders on 10 December 2003.

The application has been amended as follows:

Claim 14 (currently amended) A method for producing a non-transgenic, herbicide resistant or tolerant plant comprising:

(a)[a.] introducing into [a plant cell] plant cells a recombinagenic oligonucleobase with a targeted mutation in the EPSPS gene to produce plant cells with a mutant EPSPS gene that expresses an EPSPS protein that is mutated at one or more amino acid positions, said positions selected from the group consisting of Leu<sub>173</sub>[;], Ala<sub>179</sub>, Met<sub>180</sub>, Arg<sub>181</sub>, Ser<sub>98</sub>, Ser<sub>255</sub> and Leu<sub>198</sub> in the Arabidopsis EPSPS protein or at an analogous amino acid residue in an EPSPS paralog;

(b)[b.] identifying a <u>plant</u> cell having[, a mutated EPSPS gene, which cell has] substantially normal growth as compared to a corresponding wild-type plant cell <u>in the presence of glyphosate</u>; and

(c)[c.] regenerating a non-transgenic herbicide resistant or tolerant plant <u>having a</u> mutated EPSPS gene from said plant cell.

Application/Control Number: 09/685,403

Art Unit: 1638

Claim 15 (currently amended) A method for producing a non-transgenic, herbicide resistant or tolerant plant comprising:

(a)[a.] introducing into [a plant cell] <u>plant cells</u> a recombinagenic oligonucleobase <u>with a targeted mutation in the EPSPS gene</u> to produce <u>plant cells with</u> a mutant EPSPS gene that expresses [an] <u>a mutant</u> EPSPS protein that is mutated at one or more amino acid positions, said positions selected from the group consisting of Leu<sub>173</sub>[;], Ala<sub>179</sub>, Met<sub>180</sub>, Arg<sub>181</sub>, Ser<sub>98</sub>, Ser<sub>255</sub> and Leu<sub>198</sub> in <u>the Arabidopsis EPSPS protein</u> or at an analogous amino acid residue in an EPSPS paralog;

(b)[b.] identifying a <u>plant</u> cell having a [mutated EPSPS gene, which encoded] mutant EPSPS protein <u>that</u> has substantially the same catalytic activity as compared to a corresponding wild type EPSPS protein <u>in the presence of glyphosate</u>; and

(c)[c.] regenerating a non-transgenic herbicide resistant or tolerant plant <u>having a</u> mutated EPSPS gene from said plant cell.

Claim 20 (currently amended) The method according to claim 14 in which the <u>amino acid</u> positions [in the *Zea mays* paralog] are selected from the group consisting of Leu<sub>97</sub>, Ala<sub>103</sub>, Met<sub>104</sub>, Arg<sub>105</sub>, Ser<sub>23</sub>, Ser<sub>179</sub>[,] and Leu<sub>122</sub> in the *Zea mays* paralog.

Claim 21 (currently amended) The method according to claim 14 in which the <u>amino acid</u> positions [in the *Brassica napus* paralog] are selected from the group consisting of Leu<sub>169</sub>, Ala<sub>175</sub>, Met<sub>176</sub>, Arg<sub>177</sub>, Ser<sub>94</sub>, Ser<sub>251</sub> and Leu<sub>194</sub> in a *Brassica sp* paralog.

Claim 22 (currently amended) The method according to claim 14 in which the <u>amino acid</u> positions [in the *Petunia hybrida*] are selected from the group consisting of Leu<sub>169</sub>, Ala<sub>175</sub>, Met<sub>176</sub>, Arg<sub>177</sub>, Ser<sub>94</sub>, Ser<sub>251</sub> and Leu<sub>194</sub> in the *Petunia hybrida* paralog.

Application/Control Number: 09/685,403

Art Unit: 1638

At claim 23, line 1, "plant is" has been amended to -- plant cells are -- to be in agreement with claims 14 and 15.

Claim 25 (currently amended) The method according to claim 15 in which the <u>amino acid</u> positions [in the *Zea mays* paralog] are selected from the group consisting of Leu<sub>97</sub>, Ala<sub>103</sub>, Met<sub>104</sub>, Arg<sub>105</sub>, Ser<sub>23</sub>, Ser<sub>179</sub>[,] and Leu<sub>122</sub> in the *Zea mays* paralog.

Claim 26 (currently amended) The method according to claim 15 in which the <u>amino acid</u> positions [in the *Brassica napus* paralog] are selected from the group consisting of Leu<sub>169</sub>, Ala<sub>175</sub>, Met<sub>176</sub>, Arg<sub>177</sub>, Ser<sub>94</sub>, Ser<sub>251</sub> and Leu<sub>194</sub> in a *Brassica sp* paralog.

Claim 27 (currently amended) The method according to claim 15 in which the <u>amino acid</u> positions [in the *Petunia hybrida*] are selected from the group consisting of Leu<sub>189</sub>, Ala<sub>175</sub>, Met<sub>176</sub>, Arg<sub>177</sub>, Ser<sub>94</sub>, Ser<sub>251</sub> and Leu<sub>194</sub> in the *Petunia hybrida* paralog.

Claim 28 (currently amended) A method for producing a non-transgenic, herbicide resistant or tolerant plant comprising:

(a)[a.] introducing into [a plant cell] <u>plant cells</u> a recombinagenic oligonucleobase <u>with a targeted mutation in the EPSPS gene</u> to produce <u>plant cells with a mutant</u> EPSPS gene that expresses an EPSPS protein that is mutated in two amino acid positions, said positions selected from the group consisting of Thr<sub>178</sub> and Pro<sub>182</sub>, in <u>the Arabidopsis EPSPS protein</u> or at an analogous amino acid residue in an EPSPS paralog wherein the Thr<sub>178</sub> is changed to Val or Leu and Pro<sub>182</sub> is changed to Ser;

(b)[b.] identifying a <u>plant</u> cell having[, a mutated EPSPS gene, which cell has] substantially normal growth as compared to a corresponding wild-type plant cell <u>in the</u> presence of glyphosate; and

Art Unit: 1638

(c)[c.] regenerating a non-transgenic herbicide resistant or tolerant plant <u>having a</u> <u>mutated EPSPS gene</u> from said plant cell.

Claim 29 (new) The method according to claim 28 in which the amino acid positions are Thr<sub>102</sub> and Pro<sub>106</sub> in the *Zea mays* paralog.

Claim 30 (new) The method according to claim 28 in which the amino acid positions are Thr<sub>174</sub> and Pro<sub>178</sub> in a *Brassica sp* paralog.

Claim 31 (new) The method according to claim 28 in which the amino acid positions are Thr<sub>174</sub> and Pro<sub>178</sub> in the *Petunia hybrida* paralog.

The title of the invention has been amended to read:

METHODS OF MAKING NON-TRANSGNEIC HERBICIDE RESISTANT PLANTS

In the Abstract at lines 10-13, "The present invention also relates to a non-transgenic plant cell...EPSPS gene." has been deleted.

Application/Control Number: 09/685,403

Art Unit: 1638

Page 6

2. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David H. Kruse, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (703) 306-4539, (571) 272-0799 after 6 January 2004. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 8:00 a.m. to 4:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dr. Amy Nelson can be reached at (703) 306-3218, (571) 272-0804 after 6 January 2004. The fax telephone number for this Group is (703) 872-9306 Before Final or (703) 872-9307 After Final.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group Receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

DAVID T. FOX
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 180 /638

David H. Kruse, Ph.D. 8 December 2003